

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/076,232	02/14/2002	Glen J. Anderson	P1846US01	2852
7590 03/28/2006			EXAMINER	
Attention: Kenneth J. Cool			BAYERL, RAYMOND J	
GATEWAY, IN 610 Gateway D			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
N. Sioux City, SD 57049			2173	

DATE MAILED: 03/28/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No.	''' '/	Applicant(s)	
10/076,232	ANDERSON ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit	_	

Examiner	

Advisory Action	10/076,232	ANDERSON ET AL.					
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	Γ				
	Raymond J. Bayerl	2173					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe		correspondence add	 ress				
THE REPLY FILED 17 March 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AP		•	7000 ==				
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods: The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date 	the same day as filing a Notice of ving replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in the with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must	Appeal. To avoid aba idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31: or (3)				
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la	b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70		E FIRST REPLY WAS F	ILED WITHIN				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date.	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi te of the final rejection, of	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as even if timely filed,				
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). AMENDMENTS							
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, if (a) They raise new issues that would require further contains the contai			ecause				
(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	w);	·					
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or							
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.					
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21 See attached Notice of Non Co	maliant Amandment	(DTOL 224)				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)	: 35 USC 112 2 nd paragraph reject	ion of claims 4 6 9 1	(PTOL-324). 29 - 30				
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s).							
7. To purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided the second of the proposed amendment (s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided to the proposed amendment (s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided to the proposed amendment (s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected in proposed amendment (s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected in proposed amendment (s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected in proposed amendment (s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected in proposed amendment (s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected in proposed amendment (s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected in proposed amendment (s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected in proposed amendment (s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected in proposed amendment (s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected in proposed amended the new or amended claims which it is not the new or amended the new or am	\square will not be entered, or b) \boxtimes will vided below or appended.	I be entered and an e	explanation of				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: <u>13 - 18, 20 - 25, 27 - 28</u> .							
Claim(s) objected to: 4, 6, 8 - 9, 29 - 30.							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1 - 3, 5, 10 - 12, 31</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will <u>not</u> be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).							
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).							
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation							
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11 M The request for reconsideration has been considered by	t done NOT place the application in						
 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered buse			ice because:				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s). 13. Other:							
	KAY	MOND J. BAY	/ERL				
	PRII	MARY EXAMI	NER				
77	March 2006 A	RT UNIT 217	3				
	HATCH COUP						

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Mano et al. (US #5,793,366) continues to teach the configuration of a user-interface on the joint basis of the determination that a port is used and that the port is a member of the overall set of connections that Mano et al. presents. The Examiner cannot "read in" what applicant requests to the phrase "defined relationship defined between the ports", and must give claim language its broadest reasonable interpretation. Tsai (US #6,466,434 B1) then shows the obviousness of a system with front and rear portion chassis connections, which can exist consistently with the Mano et al. connection-reporting arrangement.